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Changing role of university learning & teaching

• Over the past decade, efforts from European systems and HEIs to reform HE

• EU Modernisation agenda and Bologna process with emphasis on:
  ✓ Learning outcomes & skills orientation (entrepreneurship skills, generic skills, research skills…)
  ✓ Student-centred learning
  ✓ Need to enhance access and retention
  ✓ Overall quality of higher education

• Growing importance // Growing numbers of students // Increasingly diverse student body

• Studying in early stage for a lifetime “package”
  vs accumulating smaller “packages” of learning as life progresses, needs arise, etc.: change of paradigm?
Changing role of university learning & teaching

This leads to questions:

- What are the skills that graduates would need?

- How can universities/teachers demonstrate their role of proactively set the goals for learning (not limited to “responding to what labour market requires”)?

- How do we define/describe higher education learning and teaching?

- What could “quality teaching” exactly mean?
L&T as a priority in policy making

In the Bologna Ministerial Communiqué, Yerevan, May 2015:

« Enhancing the quality and relevance of learning and teaching is the main mission of the EHEA. »

Promoting pedagogical innovation

Student-centred learning environments

Digital technologies

Link between teaching, learning and research

Creativity, innovation and entrepreneurship

Effective learning activities

Enhancing academics’ teaching competences

Students and stakeholders involved in curriculum design and QA
L&T as a priority in policy making

• In the ESG 2015: focus on learning and teaching in HE, including:
  ✓ Learning environment
  ✓ Links to research and innovation
  ✓ Student-centred learning
Institutional approaches to L&T (Trends 2015)

• Teaching and role of teaching staff as priorities for HEIs

• Most European universities have developed or are developing teaching enhancement initiatives.

• However: are those efforts mainstreamed? Are they effective?
  ✓ Most institutions (70%): voluntary courses for staff training
  ✓ Some institutions: mandatory courses
  ✓ Differences between staff categories?
  ✓ Differences between institutions of the same system
  ✓ Differences between countries

=> at the discretion of the institution
Enhancement of teaching and the role of academic staff

There is a growing recognition of the importance of teaching
- 59%
- 35%
- 4%

Innovative teaching methods and techniques are being introduced
- 57%
- 40%
- 2%

Research plays a more important role than teaching for the career development of young academics
- 54%
- 37%
- 7%

Trends 2015, Figure 22: Do the following statements reflect the current situation at your institution?
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Innovative teaching vs effective teaching?

• “Innovation methods in L&T”
  ✓ Often used as synonym for digital learning/e-learning, but goes beyond
  ✓ What exactly is “innovative learning”? What can bring a CHANGE? Any approach other than auditorium style (flipped classes, PBL, etc.)?
  ✓ On contrary, some “old” teaching can still be appreciated
  ✓ “Effective teaching”?

• What are the criteria for effectiveness?
  ✓ Quality-assured?
  ✓ Peer recognition and evidence => not that easy to assess!
  ✓ Sustainable and transferable => role of institutions

• “University L&T » is not monolithic: students experience several L&T methodologies in the course of their studies
  ✓ Their experience will be « blended » anyway
Where does research sit in/with L&T?

- Relation with research important, but several things are understood by that:
  - Research-led teaching (how research feeds teaching)
  - Researching teaching (research on L&T)
  - Teaching how to research (initiating students to conduct research)

- Pedagogical research (research on L&T)
  - How to transfer it in practice?
  - Credibility of pedagogic reform
  - Researching institutional practice
  - Role of teaching/learning labs
  - Interaction with Department of Education Sciences?
The impact of digital learning

• Changing the teaching profession?
  ✓ Teachers from “knowledge provider” to “learning coach”?
  ✓ Visibility to teaching

• Student centred-learning + more and more diverse student body
  ✓ Learn at own pace, independent from place & time, repeat, learn at own interest
  ✓ Different learning needs, styles and knowledge gaps
  ✓ Mass higher education, working/parent students, continued professional development

• Educational approach
  ✓ Flipped classroom: listen to lectures at home, work through learning materials, discuss and collaborate in classroom
  ✓ Active learning: better chance to active participation
  ✓ Connected learning: working with others
  ✓ Virtual mobility/ collaboration
The impact of digital learning

- Digital technology to be addressed as a mean to enhance L&T, not as an end:
  - E-learning ≠ learning innovation
  - Not a remedy for all challenges in higher education
  - Technically feasible ≠ pedagogically, societally and economically useful or desireable

Not only a matter of online classes...

... where does digital learning start?
How can impact and success be reported?

• Difficult to assess what’s good teaching or even to define it: “it depends on what you are trying to do”...

• Tools:
  ✓ Student evaluations, self-evaluation, evaluation/career progression schemes
  ✓ Importance to use several tools and cross-analyse results

• Role of institutions and institutional strategies?
  ✓ Leadership support
  ✓ Sustainability, transferability
  ✓ How can institutions promote teaching enhancement so to achieve valuable impact on students?

See Robinson and Timperley, “The leadership of improvement in teaching and learning: Lessons from initiatives with positive outcomes on students”, 2007
Providing educational direction

Ensuring strategic alignment

Creating a community that learns how to improve student success

Engaging in constructive problem talk

Selecting and developing smart tools/resources

Leadership dimensions critical in fostering teacher and student learning
Robinson and Timperley, 2007
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University teaching profession: a changing perception/role?

- Traditionally: Professor as a teaching researcher
  - Independent, self-reliant
  - Defining him-/herself as a teacher (with the right method) and/or as a researcher
  - ... role model?
A changing perception/role?

• Diverse profiles of university teaching staff:
  ✓ Professors, researchers, early stage researchers (doctoral candidates, post-docs), teaching assistants, “practitioners”, “experts”, students...
  ✓ Variety in career development, type of inputs, etc.
  ✓ “University L&T” is not monolithic!

• Recruitment practices and staff regulations may depend on national legislation: not much flexibility for HEIs or QAAs

• Careers still very much research-driven

• Teaching tends to/should (?) become a teamwork:
  ✓ Relationship with colleagues (academic and administrative staff - no longer alone, peer-sharing)
  ✓ Relationship with students
  ✓ Growing influence of curriculum reforms (Bologna process): modularisation, defining LO, skills, etc.

• Importance of services and learning environment
This leads to questions...

• University teachers are facing multiple professional identities:
  ✓ How to balance them?
  ✓ Place of teaching as part of an academic career?

• Different “profiles” for HE teaching?
  ✓ Differences between disciplines, requirements for teachers, types of institutions, countries...?
  ✓ Not that one approach is superior: how to combine, balance?
  ✓ How do these profiles in their different national contexts relate to “teaching”, “pedagogy”, “didactics”, staff training, professional development, continuing education, etc.?

• Identify what are the pressures on, and challenges of teachers (resources, support, interplay with deans and services)?
Questionnaire for mapping the practices of quality assurance agencies (ESG 2015)

1.5 Teaching Staff

Standard:
Institutions should assure themselves of the competence of their teachers. They should apply fair and transparent processes for the recruitment and development of the staff.

Guidelines:
The teacher’s role is essential in creating a high quality student experience and enabling the acquisition of knowledge, competences and skills. The diversifying student population and stronger focus on learning outcomes require student-centred learning and teaching and the role of the teacher is, therefore, also changing (cf. Standard 1.3).
Higher education institutions have primary responsibility for the quality of their staff and for providing them with a supportive environment that allows them to carry out their work effectively. Such an environment
- sets up and follows clear, transparent and fair processes for staff recruitment and conditions of employment that recognise the importance of teaching;
- offers opportunities for and promotes the professional development of teaching staff;
- encourages scholarly activity to strengthen the link between education and research;
- encourages innovation in teaching methods and the use of new technologies.
Survey in June 2016 to QAAs on how practices have been changing as a result of ESG revision.

In changes made to QAAs’ criteria since ESG 2015:

✓ Broader reflection on the scope of ESG 1.5
✓ More focus on:
  • Link between T&L and resources: sustainable teaching workload and available (human) resources
  • Role of teachers in implementing SCL and creating a supportive learning environment
  • Professional development of teachers: evaluating the level of qualification of staff (hiring), professional development availability, motivation and research.

In some countries already part of the EQA criteria.
How to improve the situation of HE teaching?

- **Staff development, enhancement:**
  - A concrete enhancement course offer
  - As tools in specific areas: how to address innovation, digital learning, experimental-problem based learning, how to help students achieving their potential, etc.

- **Contribute to advocating and profiling the role of HE teachers**
  - Part of the academic profession
  - Better recognition - among teachers, at institutions, in systems, general public
  - Issue of recognition in career paths

- **Attention to conditions for teaching and learning environment offered**

- **Institutions to recognise and support teaching enhancement, and measures to support institutions in doing that**
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European Forum For Enhanced Collaboration in Teaching

- Erasmus K3 project, 2015 – 2018
- Teaching staff development/teaching enhancement AND institutional strategy
- Assessing the feasibility of a European-level structure for supporting the enhancement of university teachers

High Level Group report on the Modernisation of Higher Education (2014)
Project consortium: partners and friends
Aims & outputs

1. Facilitate exchange and collaboration between European actors
2. Identify good practices and develop new and innovative approaches
   ✓ Develop and test new materials for teaching enhancement
   ✓ Develop a manual of general principles
3. Support institutions in the development of strategic approaches
   ✓ Inter-institutional peer-learning on strategic, centralised approaches to teaching enhancement
   ✓ Develop and test a strategy support package/toolkit
4. Develop a model for a sustainable European structure
   ✓ Feasibility study on the mission, activities, target groups, delivery modes, governance and ownership, and business model for self-sustainability
Complements with other activities in L&T

• « Trends »- style survey on L&T:
  ✓ To grasp a structural view of how L&T is carried out across the EHEA
  ✓ Launch first half 2017
  ✓ Preliminary results by autumn 2017
  ✓ Final publication spring 2018

• EUA’s Learning and Teaching Initiative
  ✓ Following Trends 2015’s conclusion: more attention needed on the L&T process itself
  ✓ To facilitate the exchange of experience on L&T among EUA members and other interested stakeholders
  ✓ 1st European L&T Forum: Paris, 28-29 September 2017
  ✓ Prepared and complemented by thematic peer groups: call to be launched beginning December
A few (tentative) conclusions

• Not only about individual improvement for teachers
• Not only about HEIs as recipients for creating an environment for teachers
  ➞ Enhancing teaching // appropriate institutional strategy
• Broader vision than just upgrading teaching
• Relation with research very important and needs to be addressed as part of institutional strategy
• Recognition of the profession and professional identity of teachers?
• Improving quality of teaching:
  ✓ Not only on time spent in classroom: general conditions for good learning and teaching
  ✓ Incentivising rather than prescribing: how to motivate? How to find the right balance?
  ✓ As a part of bottom-up QA: L&T community-led quality improvement (also as an institutional goal), improves the HEI/helps the students
Thank you for your attention!
A few discussion questions?

- How to build up a community of practice or a network in L&T, where the issues addressed could be discussed? Examples at institutional, national, regional, disciplinary level?

- How to strike a balance between research and education (in teachers’ career paths, in institutional visions, etc.)? Would QA be able to make a contribution to that? Do you have examples (IQA, EQA)?
Trends 2015 follow up questionnaire

Background:
- Follow up on already existing data from the Trends 2015 report
- Needs & demand for an EFFECT

Q13 - Has there been a systematic effort to introduce or enhance the following at your institution?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optional courses to enhance teaching skills (preparation or training courses)</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No, but we are planning this</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compulsory courses to enhance teaching skills (preparation or training courses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No, but we are planning this</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peer feedback system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No, but we are planning this</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research on teaching and learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No, but we are planning this</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recognition of good teaching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No, but we are planning this</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Portfolios in which teachers document their teaching practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No, but we are planning this</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q14 Units for pedagogical or didactic development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central level unit for pedagogical or didactic development</th>
<th>60%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faculty/department level unit for pedagogical or didactic development</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No unit for pedagogical or didactic development</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other unit for pedagogical or didactic development</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For those who have “a unit for pedagogical or didactic development”

**What is/will be the unit’s role and function?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>organisation of teaching enhancement courses</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consultation of teaching staff</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provision of teaching enhancement materials</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management of dedicated learning centre</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>research in the fields of innovative methods for pedagogics and didactics at university</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contribution to internal QA (preparation of reports, documentation)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intervention in case of low quality teaching (e.g. as indicated by negative student)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development and implementation of personalized staff development plans</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organisation of teaching prizes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For those HEIS who stated they had made a “systematic effort to introduce or enhance optional courses to enhance teaching skills”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What topics do optional courses cover?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced/innovative pedagogics and didactics</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student centred learning</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of learning outcomes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic pedagogics and didactics</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT based teaching</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research based or research informed teaching</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject area specific teaching methods</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching an international classroom</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which profiles of staff are optional courses aimed at?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All staff</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainly young teachers and early career researchers</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhD students</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approx. how many of the university’s staff have taken optional courses?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-25%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-50%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-100%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What effect have optional courses had on the quality of teaching in your university?

- The importance of teaching has taken a more central role at the university: 6
- Student feedback has improved overall: 4
- Good teaching has become more recognised: 3
- There has been a good take-up and a large number of teaching staff participated in courses: 3
- The take-up has been rather low and only a small number teaching staff so far has participated in courses: 1
- Drop-out rates have been reduced overall: 0
Need/demand - consolidated questions of needs and demand for EFFECT (N=19)

Would you find the following useful for your institution?

1. Participating in a network of teaching enhancement experts

   - Very useful: 68%
   - Somewhat useful: 26%
   - Not very useful: 0%

2. Participating in our online based forum on higher education teaching

   - Very useful: 58%
   - Somewhat useful: 26%
   - Not very useful: 11%

3. Specific guidelines on strategic approaches to teaching enhancement at central university level

   - Very useful: 53%
   - Somewhat useful: 37%
   - Not very useful: 5%

4. Teaching enhancement materials on specific themes

   - Very useful: 63%
   - Somewhat useful: 32%
   - Not very useful: 0%

5. Teaching enhancement events/courses - face to face

   - Very useful: 58%
   - Somewhat useful: 21%
   - Not very useful: 16%

6. Teaching enhancement events/courses - web-based

   - Very useful: 47%
   - Somewhat useful: 37%
   - Not very useful: 11%